O Yes.

A In November of 2001 I was making up and making the coordination. They were trained in January or the beginning of February and in February of next year was conducted these 200 people audits in the schools.

Q All right. Were you provided with the information that you requested from DRC by this letter?

A I think so.

Q Between the date of that letter and your meeting in February of 2002 with USAC, the Department of Education canceled the contracts that DRC had with the Department of Education. Is that not right?

A Yes, Sir.

Q And you are aware of the letter that was written by Mr. Rey Hernández on January---

A I saw a copy...

Q Anyway, let me ask you why were those contracts canceled?

A Actually, I don't know. I know that I sent my recommendation on the project that I think they had the more possibilities of surviving and the same project which I told you before based on results and based on the information I had I felt was the wired one.

- Q When did you send that recommendation?
- A I talked about that recommendation sometime either

by the end of December or beginning of January. 1 MR. CAMILO SALAS: Excuse me a second. 2 (OFF THE RECORD) 3 After the recess, 4 BY MR. CAMILO SALAS: 5 I'm sorry, I think I was asking you when you made 6 7 that recommendation. It should be somewhere maybe at the end of December Α 8 or the beginning of January. 9 Did you make any specific recommendations that the 10 Department of Education canceled the contracts between the 11 Department of Education and DRC? 12 I talked in terms of the projects and the projects Α 13 I informed the Secretary and the staff that my best 14 probability for surviving at which I think that I should 15 direct all of my effort was for the wired one. 16 Let me show you what I am going to mark as Exhibit 17 Number 6. 18 (AT THIS MOMENT DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 6 IS MARKED) 19 BY MR. CAMILO SALAS: 20 Have you ever seen that document before? 21 One moment, Sir. Yes, Sir. Ά 22 And that was dated June 11th, 2002? 23 Yes, Sir. Α 24 Who prepared that document? 25 Q

Α I don't remember. 1 2 Q You did not prepare it yourself, did you? No, Sir. 3 Α 4 Q Somebody handed it to you to sign it? It looks like, yes. I didn't write this well. 5 Α Did you read the document before you signed it? 6 Q 7 Α Yes, Sir. 8 And everything that is stated in the document is 9 true? To the best of my knowledge. 10 Α Yes? 11 0 Α To the best of my knowledge, yes. 12 And Paragraph 3 of the document refers to an 13 Q Exhibit A which is a copy of the letter from Mr. McDonald to 14 César Rey Hernández? 15 Α Yes, Sir. 16 And we have here a copy of that letter with the 17 mark as Exhibit A which is previously identified and marked 18 as Exhibit Number 4, right? 19 Um-jum. It is the same letter. Α 20 As a matter of fact, in order that there be no 0 21 confusions, let's attach Exhibit A to the Sworn Affidavit and 22 I think it's going to become---23 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Exhibit 6 of the deposition? 24

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

25

MR. CAMILO SALAS: No, no, no. In other words,

Exhibit 5 is going to contain the attachment which is going to be a complete document.

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Exhibit 6.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: Exhibit 6, I'm sorry. Correct,.

Exhibit 6. I'll just try to make it complete.

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Declaration under the penalty of perjury with it's own Exhibit A.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: Exactly.

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Which also happens to be Exhibit 4 of this deposition.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: All right.

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: No problem.

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

Q Now, why don't you read Paragraph 3 for the record, please?

A The averments?

O Averments.

A "The averments contained in the Opposition on behalf of D.E. and Rey are true to the best of my knowledge and information, and its Exhibit A, copy of the letter dated December 5, 2001 from USAC to co-defendant Rey was received at the D.E. and constituted one of the principal reasons for the decisions taken in January, 2002 not to continue using the services of co-plaintiff DRC as a provider to the E-Rate Program in Puerto Rico."

Q All right. Let me ask you again. Now, is the letter which is attached as Exhibit A one of the principal reasons for the decision taken in January 2002 not to continue using the services of DRC as a provider to the E-Rate Program in Puerto Rico?

A Yes, it was one of the facts that were taken in consideration.

- Q Was that one of the principal reasons?
- A One of them.
- Q Now then tell me what were the other principal reasons, if any?

A Yes. As I told you before, there was the data we had concerning the probabilities of a project that can be recovered and the operational, the one that had the least critical stages and have more probabilities of working.

- O That's it?
- A That's it. That was based on all the information we had from the previous 6 months from July to December.
- Q Are you telling me then that this data that you had concerning the probability of the Phase 1 project being a more feasible project to recover, that that was in fact one of the principal reasons why DRC's contracts were canceled?
 - A Say it again.
- Q Early on, you had told me when I asked you why DRC's contracts were canceled, you told me simply I think, or

I was left with the impression that you did not know, but you told me that you had submitted the information about the recoverability of the Phase 1 project which you thought it was the best one, the key.

A Um-jum.

- Q I guess what I am asking you now is if was that information in fact in the decision to cancel DRC's contracts?
 - A Yes, it was a fact.
 - Q Along with the letter from USAC?
 - A From USAC.
- Q All right. So those were the 2 reasons why DRC's contracts were terminated or canceled?
- A No. I won't say that those were the 2 reasons. I said that those were 2 reasons.
 - O Were there more reasons?
- A I would have to go if there was a... I don't know if what I understand that is data to support the viability of the wired project, for you, you look at the same way I look at it, for me just data to support that. I don't know if that for you constitutes reasons.
- Q No. That is just data that supports one of the 2 reasons you have given me. Is there any other reason?
- A I would say that as far as I remember, all the other reasons are in the part that I referred to as data that

supports which of the 2.

Even this letter for me is part of the same thing. It was one of the critical stages that would put in risk the development or the recoverability of the project.

Q Did you read the letter of December 5th, 2001 from USAC as indicating that DRC hadn't done anything wrong as far as USAC evaluated the situation?

A When I saw this letter at that time that there are concerns from USAC.

- Q And what are those concerns?
- A Concerns in terms that the project was conducted and it had a chance to work.
- Q Did those concerns refer to anything that DRC did wrong?

A That is why it is not the main reason because I don't have any explicit things over here in the name of DRC. That is why it is part of all the other reasons that are very important in terms of which of the 2 projects have more chances to survive.

- Q My question was simply whether or not the USAC letter states anything that DRC did wrong in the performance of its contract?
 - A In this letter?
 - Q Yes, Sir.
 - A No, I don't see it. No, Sir.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	l
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	l

- Q In fact, this letter refers to the Department of Education's failure to provide computers. Is that not right?
 - A It refers to that.
- Q It refers to the Department of Education's failure to train the operators, teachers and the people who were going to operate the Internet, right?
 - A Um-jum.
- Q When you received this letter, did you obtain a copy of the Arthur Anderson report that is referred to in this letter?
- A I think that we have a copy of the page or something that was produced, but we didn't have the whole copy of the Arthur Anderson.
- Q Since that time have you seen the entire copy of the Arthur Anderson report?
 - A No, I haven't seen the whole copy of it.
- Q Are you aware of whether or not the Arthur Anderson report says that DRC did anything wrong?
 - A No. Sir. I don't have that information.
- Q Now, in this letter another item that USAC was concerned about is the fact that at least according to the letter the Department of Education may not have secure access to sufficient software for the computers. Is that not true? Bottom of page 2. Last paragraph of page 2.
 - A The one that begins with "Furthermore"?

Yes. 0 1 It says it there. Yes, it says they have a concern 2 Α that you may not have the secure access to sufficient 3 software. 4 Or that the Department of Education may not have 5 trained the teachers? 6 Α The teachers. 7 It also indicates that they are concerned 8 0 Right. that the Department of Education may not have secured access 9 to sufficient maintenance? 10 Um-jum. Α 11 Right? 0 12 Α Yes, Sir. 13 And they are also concerned that the Department of 14 Education may not have upgraded the electrical connections in 15 the schools? 16 Α You are right. 17 And those were problems that you were aware of. 18 that not right? 19 Α Um-jum. 20 And you knew that the Department of Education at 21 least when this letter was written, had not secured their 22 computers. You knew that, right? 23

> Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

You knew that there were problems with the

Yes, Sir.

Α

0

24

25

1 electrical connections. You knew that, right? 2 Α Yes, Sir. 3 You knew that the Department of Education had not purchased software for the computers? 4 Α 5 We do have and there was a contract of \$25,000,000.00 with Microsoft for software. 6 7 For which computers? 8 Α For all the computers in the Department of Education. 9 Well, for the computers that were going to be used 10 0 with the Internet? 11 Α Yes, Sir. 12 And those had been purchased? 13 Q Α Yes. 14 As of this date? 15 For every computer in the next 3 years in the Α 16 Department of Education there was also software for them. 17 But the Department of Education didn't have the 18 computers. How could it have ---19 You are asking me about software. Α 20 In other words, the Department of Education 0 21 purchased software without having computers? 22 We purchased a contract and the contract includes Α 23 all the computers and the software of the computers. 24 All right. So you have a contract with Microsoft 25 0

that says that for \$25,000,000.00 they would provide whatever card, whatever software you need for all the computers for the Department of Education?

A Specific software from Microsoft. It is in the contract.

Q All right. And you also knew that as of this date all the teachers had not received proper training?

A In the 14 months I was there we trained 23,000 something. What happened before or after that, is not of my responsibility. We did a good job on that.

Q In this letter they ask you to provide detailed information about your investments and productivity and curriculum software. Did you provide that information to them?

A Um-jum.

Q Did you provide information about your progress in delivering professional development to ensure that the educators know how to use those new technologies?

A That's right.

Q Did you provide information about your resources to maintain computers and other accessories?

A Yes, Sir.

Q And did you provide your evaluation of any necessary upgrades for your electrical systems in the schools?

I made the request to OMEP and they should provide Α 1 them. 2 Did you tell that to USAC? 3 0 Α Yes, Sir. 4 5 0 This letter also indicated because of their concerns that until you provided the information requested, 6 USAC was not going to commit or disburse any funds to the 7 Department of Education's vendors. Is that not right? 8 Yes, Sir. 9 Α So essentially until you responded to this letter, 10 0 DRC was not going to get paid? 11 Α And we certainly did. 12 You what? 0 13 We certainly did, we answered. Ά 14 You answered the letter, okay. Did you provide all 15 the information that they requested to their satisfaction? 16 Α Yes, Sir. 17 When you met with them, did they tell you that they 18 were satisfied with the information? 19 Α Yes. 20 Q Yes? 21 A Yes. 22 You had other meetings with USAC, you said? 23 0 Α Yes, Sir. 24 Were you aware of the fact that César Rey Hernández Q 25

wrote a letter on January 23rd of 2002 canceling the 1 contracts that the Department of Education had with DRC? 2 Α Yes. 3 Did you see the letter before it was sent? 4 No. 5 Did Mr. Rey Hernández consult with you before he 6 wrote that letter? 7 Before the letter? 8 Α Yes. Q 9 I don't remember, but about the projects we Α 10 certainly did. 11 In this letter you were designated to preside over 12 the "Comité de Transferencia de Bienes y Servicios" to 13 receive information concerning the work that DRC had done? 14 Yes, Sir. Α 15 Were you told that you were going to be head of 16 that committee. 17 I was informed. Α 18 What do you mean by that? Q 19 Α Excuse me? 20 You were informed, you were told to do that? 0 21 Yes, Sir. Α 22 Is that a committee that was formed specifically 23 for the purpose of handling the cancellation of the contracts

> Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

24

25

with DRC?

1	A The transfer.								
2	Q The transfer, okay. Who else was in that								
3	committee?								
4	A That is a committee and the resources I could use								
5	from the office.								
6	Q You haven't seen that letter since?								
7	A Excuse me?								
8	Q Have you seen the letter of cancellation?								
9	A (NO RESPONSE IS HEARD FOR THE RECORD)								
10	Q Did you get a change to read that letter just now?								
11	A Yes.								
12	MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Are you going to mark it as an								
13	Exhibit?								
14	MR. CAMILO SALAS: Yes, I might as well do that.								
15	MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Okay, so this will be Exhibit								
16	Number 7.								
17	MR. CAMILO SALAS: Let's make a clean copy of that.								
18	(AT THIS MOMENT DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 7 IS MARKED)								
19	BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:								
20	Q Now, that letter does not give a reason for the								
21	termination of the contracts, correct?								
22	A You are right.								
23	Q I'm right?								
24	A Yes.								
25	Q Do you know why they did not put a reason for the								

termination of the contracts? 1 Α Yes, Sir. 3 O

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Are you aware of the letter dated February 15th, 2002 that was subsequently written by Mr. Rey Hernández?
 - Α Um-jum.
- In that letter as I read it, Mr. Rey Hernández says that the contracts were canceled for "razones de sana administración pública". What does that mean?
 - Α You have to ask him.
- Have you seen that letter before? The February Q letter?
 - Α The February letter?
 - 0 Yes.
 - I think I have seen it before. Α
 - You have seen it?
 - I think. Α
- When you read the letter the first time, did you ask yourself what Mr. Rey Hernández meant by "razones de sana administración pública"?
 - Α Yes.
 - What did you understand that to be?
- For me it is clear that the recommendation I made Α was based on the performance and the probabilities. For me, that is supporting the "sana administración pública," because his responsibility is to administrate the Department of

Education which is a public entity.

Q What recommendations did you make about performance?

A Performance? According to the facts I had collected and looking forward to the probability of recovering the project, I think that our best effort should be put into the project that has more probabilities and less critical phases than in other areas of the project and for me, that project was the wired one.

Q But it had nothing to do with DRC's performance?

A It's part of the whole information I had. When I say "about performance" it is not in the air. It is based on all the data I had for 6 months trying to recover the system.

Q I guess that what I am trying to find out is did DRC's performance or non performance of anything that it was required to do under the contract played any role at all in the cancellation of the contracts.

A I think you are asking the wrong person. I can tell you about what I recommended but in how the decision was taken, that was not my role.

- Q What was it that you recommended?
- A Excuse me?
- Q What did you recommend?
- A I recommended to keep only one of the projects and that one was the wired one.

Did you tell anybody "cancel DRC's contract because 0 1 DRC has not been doing what it is supposed to do" or anything 2 to that effect? 3 Α No, I didn't. 4 5 Q Did you provide that message to anyone in other terms? 6 As I told you before, my recommendation was keep 7 Α the project that has the more probabilities---8 All right. 9 Q. To keep on with it based on all the information I 10 11 That project is the wired one. You told them that was a better project? 12 0 And time proved that I was right. Α 13 But you never said to anybody "look, cancel DRC Q 14 because DRC has not been doing what it is supposed to do"? 15 In those terms? Α 16 Yes. 0 17 Α I don't remember. 18 MR. CAMILO SALAS: Why don't we attach the copy of 19 the February 15th letter also---20 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: As Exhibit 8? 21 MR. CAMILO SALAS: Exhibit 8. 22 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Okay. 23 (AT THIS MOMENT DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 8 IS MARKED) 24 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Do you have an unmarked copy? 25

MR. CAMILO SALAS: We can take a copy of that. 1 me just take a second off the record. 2 (OFF THE RECORD) 3 After the recess, 4 BY MR. CAMILO SALAS: 5 We are back on the record here. You went to work Q 6 in July of 2001, right? 7 Α Excuse me? 8 You went to work---Q 9 Α In June. 10 June? 11 Α June. 12 Of 2001. Were you interviewed at all in connection 13 with these reports that were published April 14th, 2003? 14 realize you were gone by then, but this audit was for the 15 period of March 24th, 2000 to April 27th of 2001. 16 This is just as a point of MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: 17 information because it doesn't have a letter. This is an 18 audit by whom? 19 MR. CAMILO SALAS: I'm sorry? 20 This is an audit made by whom? MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: 21 The Controller---MR. CAMILO SALAS: 22 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: "El Contralor de Puerto Rico?" 23 "El Contralor de Puerto Rico." MR. CAMILO SALAS: 24 Ah, okay. MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: . 25

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

- Q Look at page 19 which is what I am going to ask you about.
 - A Nineteen? Um-jum.
 - Q See that?
 - A Yes.
- Q Why don't you read the next page, page 20 as it continues on?
 - A Yes, Sir.
- Q All right. Were you aware that this audit was being performed or had been performed?
 - A Yes, Sir.
- Q It seems that the audit took place between March 24th, 2000 to April 22nd, 2001 according to what it states at page 6.
 - A Um-jum.
- Q And you started I guess, working a few months after that. Again my question is, you were aware that the Controller had looked at this situation?
 - A When I assumed the position?
 - O Yes.
- A No, Sir. Later on I got access to this report and when this report came to me I produced the evidence of whatever we had done to deal with this.
 - Q When did this report came to you?

1	A I think that this report to answer to the							
2	Controller they should asked information from my office but							
3	not in every concern.							
4	Q You provided the information that Mr. Rey Hernánde:							
5	supplied when the office was asked to respond?							
6	A I think so. I know what I submitted.							
7	Q And is that information available? What you							
8	submitted?							
9	A Excuse me?							
10	Q Do you have copies or does the Department of							
11	Education have copies of what you submitted to the							
12	Controller?							
13	A The Department should have. I don't have any							
14	copies at all. It came from my office in terms of what is							
15	related to the position.							
16	MR. CAMILO SALAS: I'll make a request for copies							
17	of the information and response							
18	MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: The response to the							
19	Controller's draft is what normally							
20	MR. CAMILO SALAS: Right.							
21	MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: The Controller sends a draft,							
22	the agency responds and they issue a final one.							
23	MR. CAMILO SALAS: Right.							
24	MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: So whatever we have.							
25	BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:							

A (DEPONENT) I remember it was so hard to answer for example questions in page 19. It was conducted the 11th of October to the 21st of November of 2000. It was even in the other Administration.

Q Um-jum.

A So to get the information about this was really hard.

Q The fact of the matter is that there was nothing here that is alleged that DRC did wrong. Is that not true?

A I don't know how you consider it but it says "es responsabilidad de la gerencia de toda entidad gubernamental garantızar la inversión de los fondos y la utilización efectiva de los recursos disponibles". It suggests that something was done and somebody didn't supervise it efficiently.

Q The list of the things that were done wrong which are Items 1 thru 4 and some parts A to J, the point is that none of those items there refer to anything that DRC had to do or that DRC failed to do. Is that not true?

A Um-jum. What is stated here are responsibilities mostly of the Department of Education.

MR. JOHN F. NEVARES: All right. Let's make a copy and we are going to attach a copy of this report to the deposition and we are going to mark it as Exhibit 9.

(AT THIS MOMENT DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 9 IS MARKED)

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

- A (DEPONENT) Can I keep this?
- Q You can keep that.

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Do you have it with the Appendix?

MR. CAMILO SALAS: No.

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: If you have it, bring it.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: It is just a list of the people who---

MR. JOHN F. NEVARES: Who provided information.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: Right. Since we were required to move to a different topic, let's stop right there and we convene to another date. I guess we can talk over the phone or something. Let's go off the record.

(OFF THE RECORD)

After the recess,

MR. CAMILO SALAS: It is now 20 minutes to 6:00 and we started this deposition at 9:00 a.m. this morning so we are going to stop now and we will resume the deposition of Mr. Anibal Cruz on Wednesday, September the 17th with the understanding that in the interim Mr. Bennazar is going to provide us with the documents that we have requested to subpoenas issued to several employees of the Department of Education.

MR. JOHN F. NEVARES: And not only that, but I

think that during the course of this deposition there were---1 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: There were other 2 documents 2 that were requested. 3 MR. JOHN F. NEVARES: There were other documents 4 that were surfaced that we will seek production. 5 MR. CAMILO SALAS: 6 Right. MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: 7 Okay. Okay, well thank you. MR. CAMILO SALAS: 8 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Just for clarification. 9 Exhibit 9 of the deposition which is the Controller's Office 10 Audit Report, this so you know it starts on page 5 so it 11 doesn't have the first 4 pages on the document that you 12 are---13 MR. CAMILO SALAS: That's all we have. 14 MR. JOHN F. NEVARES: That is all we got. 15 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: This is another report which 16 should be completed. I just want to let that clear for the 17 record. 18 MR. CAMILO SALAS: Right. 19 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: And that question makes 20 reference to an Exhibit that none of us seem to have seen it 21 before. We certainly need to locate it and make it part of 22 the---23 MR. CAMILO SALAS: Well hopefully, when we get to take the depositions of the Auditors which were supposed to 25

have been taken on Monday, we will get the complete document 1 we requested from them. But that is what we have. 2 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: 3 Okay. MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Okay. 4 MR. CAMILO SALAS: And that is what we have 5 attached. 6 MS. ILEANN CAÑELLAS: Have those depositions been 7 rescheduled for any date? 8 I don't know, we'll have to see. MR. CAMILO SALAS: 9 MR. JOHN F. NEVARES: We'll see. 10 MR. CAMILO SALAS: Thank you very much. 11 (AT THIS TIME THE IMMEDIATE PROCEEDINGS HAVE CONCLUDED) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE

I, Anibal Cruz Perez, hereby () accept () do not accept as correct the transcript of my deposition as prepared and transcribed by Compugrafía, Inc., taken in the date and time hereby indicated in the case of caption.

Anibal Cruz Perez

Please use the Errata Sheet at the end of the transcript for corrections related to this deposition.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Casey Hayes, E.R. Reporter, member of Compugrafía, Inc., hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is a faithful representation of the notes and recording taken by me in the hereby indicated case of caption.

I also certify that I have no relation by blood or marriage to the parties involved in this case and that I am not interested in the outcome of the same.

Signed on September 15, 2003, in San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Casey Hayes

_ _

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, John Nevares, Attorney at Law and Notary Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing deposition was taken on the date heretofore mentioned; August 18, 2003.

That the Court Reporter, the Court Interpreter and the Deponent were sworn by me before the commencement of the taking of the testimony.

In witness whereof I sign the present and affix my notarial seal in San Juan, Puerto Rico, on , 2003.

John F. Nevares, Esq.

Notary Public

ERRATA SHEET

(Specify page and line)

2					(Specify page and line)
3	1.	How	it	reads:	
4		How	it	should	read:
5	2.	How	it	reads:_	
6		How	it	should	read:
7	3.	How	it	reads:_	
8		How	it	should	read:
9	4.	How	it	reads:_	
10		How	it	should	read:
11	5.	How	ıt	reads:_	
12		How	it	should	read:
13	6.	How	it	reads:_	
14		How	it	should	read:
15	7.	How	it	reads:_	
16		How	it	should	read:
17	8.	How	it	reads:	
18		How	i.t	should	read:
19	9.	How	it	reads:	
20		How	it	should	read:
21	10.	How	it	reads:	
22		How	it	should	read:
23	11.	How	it	reads:	
24		How	it	should	read:

Anibal (Rus EXHIBIT NO. 80-18 -03 COMPUGRAFIA, INC.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

DATA RESEARCH CORPORATION | CIVIL NO. 02-1253 (JAG) c/w (DRC), ET AL.

02-1625 (JAG)

Plaintiffs

VS.

SILA M. CALDERÓN, ET AL.

Defendants

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

TO: Cándida Negrón Rosa, Esq., Landrón & Vera, LLP, 138 W. Churchill Ave., PMB 150, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00926-6023;

Nestor J. Navas-D'Acosta, Esq., Reichard & Escalera, P.O. Box 364148, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00936-6023

A.J. Bennazar-Zequeira, Esq., Bufete Bennazar, C.S.P., P.O. Box 19420 #212, San Juan, Puerto Rico 919-4000

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that plaintiffs will take the deposition of Anibal Cruz, at the law offices of John F. Nevares & Associates, PSC, Caso Building Suite 1504, 1225 Ponce de León Avenue, Santurce, Puerto Rico 00907 on August 18, 2003, commencing at 9:00 a.m., before a Notary Public authorized to administer oaths.

The deponent is hereby requested to bring to the deposition the documents listed in the attached Exhibit A.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 10th day of July 2003.

JOHN F. NEVARES & ASSOCIATES, PSC

Counsel for Data Research Corporation and Santos Diaz-Diaz

P. O. Box 13667

San Juan, P.R. 00908-3667 Telephone: (787) 722-9333

Fax: (787) 721-8820

Email: <u>ifnevares-law@microjuris.com</u>

JOHN F. NEVARES

USDC-PR 130502

SESSIONS, FISHMAN & NATHAN, L.L.P.

Counsel for Data Research Corporation and Santos Diaz-Diaz 3500 Bank One Center 201 St. Charles Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70170

Telephone: (504) 582-1500

Fax: (504) 582-1555

E-mail: csalas@sessions-law.com

CAMILO K. SALAS III

(La Bar 11657)